# **EXHIBIT 64 FILED UNDER SEAL**

#### Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 588-5 Filed 06/12/17 Page 2 of 17 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

```
1
                 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
               NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
                     SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
 4
 5
     WAYMO LLC,
                                     )
 6
                   Plaintiff,
                                     ) Case No.
 7
                                     ) 3:17-cv-00939-WHA
            vs.
 8
     UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
     OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING
 9
     LLC,
10
                  Defendants.
11
         *** CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY ***
12
13
14
           VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF GAETAN PENNECOT
15
                   San Francisco, California
16
                    Thursday, April 20, 2017
                            Volume I
17
18
19
20
     Reported by:
21
     CARLA SOARES
22
     CSR No. 5908
23
     Job No. 2599854
24
25
     Pages 1 - 95
                                                   Page 1
```

### Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 588-5 Filed 06/12/17 Page 3 of 17 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

	INDEX	
WITNESS		
GAETAN PENNE	COT EX	AMINATION
Volume I		
	BY MR. JAFFE	7
	EXHIBITS	
NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
Exhibit 100	Photocopy of photograph,	31
	Bates UBER00011668	
Exhibit 101	Photocopy of photograph,	33
	Bates UBER00011654	
Exhibit 102	E-mail to Anthony Levandowski	42
	from Gaetan Pennecot, dated 2-	24-17,
	with attachments,	
	Bates UBER00011465 - 1472	
Exhibit 103	E-mail string, top e-mail to	72
	Anthony Levandowski from Gaeta	n
	Pennecot, dated 6-24-15,	
	Bates UBER00011588 - 1592	
		Page 4
	NUMBER Exhibit 100  Exhibit 101  Exhibit 102	WITNESS  GAETAN PENNECOT  Volume I  BY MR. JAFFE  EXHIBITS  NUMBER  DESCRIPTION  Exhibit 100 Photocopy of photograph, Bates UBER00011668  Exhibit 101 Photocopy of photograph, Bates UBER00011654  Exhibit 102 E-mail to Anthony Levandowski from Gaetan Pennecot, dated 2- with attachments, Bates UBER00011465 - 1472  Exhibit 103 E-mail string, top e-mail to Anthony Levandowski from Gaeta Pennecot, dated 6-24-15,

### Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 588-5 Filed 06/12/17 Page 4 of 17 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1	A Yes, I was.	09:23:31
2	Q But you didn't have an understanding of	
3	why they thought it was better; is that right?	
4	A I would say I didn't have a clear	
5	understanding.	09:23:46
6	Q What was your understanding?	
7	A It was	
8	Q Talking about GBR 3 transmit boards again,	
9	the	
10	, right?	09:24:03
11	A So that I don't completely remember.	
12	Q For the diodes that are placed on the	
13	transmit board, they would	
14	correct?	
15	A This is correct.	09:24:26
16	Q correct?	
17	A This is correct.	
18	Q And it was intentionally designed for a	
19	, right?	
20	A So there was not much importance to that	09:24:38
21	. So it was was easy to	
22	type.	
23	Q I see. So they were intentionally	
24	designed to was just what	
25	you picked?	09:24:56
		Page 21

### Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 588-5 Filed 06/12/17 Page 5 of 17 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1	A I don't remember exactly, but I would say	09:36:04
2	after April 25th.	
3	Q What other jobs did you apply to between	
4	when you left Waymo and joined Otto?	
5	A I didn't apply to any company.	09:36:28
6	Q And what position did you apply for at	
7	Otto?	
8	A I think it was mechanical engineer.	
9	Q Who recruited you to join Otto?	
10	A Anthony did.	09:36:45
11	Q And how did that come about?	
12	A So when Anthony left Google, I lost	
13	contact with him. I knew where he lived, so one day	
14	I drove to his place.	
15	Q Unannounced?	09:37:25
16	A Unannounced.	
17	Q What happened then?	
18	A I met outside in the street a co-worker	
19	from 510 Systems.	
20	Q Who was that?	09:37:39
21	A Oleg. Oleg. I don't remember his last	
22	name.	
23	Q Then what happened?	
24	A Then I asked to get in touch with Anthony,	
25	and Anthony was not in the house.	09:38:06
		Page 28

# Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 588-5 Filed 06/12/17 Page 6 of 17 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1	on the bottom?	09:42:26
2	A No.	
3	Q What number is it?	
4	A 668.	
5	MR. KIM: At this point, I'll designate	09:42:34
6	the entire transcript attorneys' eyes only, Waymo	
7	I'm sorry Uber attorneys' eyes only under the	
8	protective order.	
9	MR. JAFFE: I'm just going to hand you the	
10	whole stack. I'm having trouble finding the right	09:42:53
11	one out of that. That's just for you, for time	
12	purposes.	
13	Q I've handed you what I've marked as	
14	Exhibit 100.	
15	Do you recognize what's shown in that	09:43:04
16	picture?	
17	A I do.	
18	Q What is it?	
19	A This looks like Spider parts.	
20	Q Now, on the right-hand side of the picture	09:43:20
21	you're looking at, are those is that the housing	
22	design for Spider?	
23	A It looks like it.	
24	Q Okay. And the housing that was designed	
25	for Spider that we're looking at in Exhibit 100, it	09:43:41
		Page 32

# Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 588-5 Filed 06/12/17 Page 7 of 17 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1	was configured to rotate around 360 degrees, right?	09:43:44
2	A This is correct.	
3	MR. JAFFE: Okay. Now, let me find a	
4	different picture for you.	
5	This will be Exhibit 101.	09:44:18
6	(Exhibit 101 was marked for identification	
7	and is attached hereto.)	
8	BY MR. JAFFE:	
9	Q Do you recognize what I've placed in front	
10	of you as Exhibit 101?	09:44:21
11	A I do.	
12	Q What is it?	
13	A This is an optical cavity.	
14	Q For Spider?	
15	A This is correct.	09:44:33
16	Q You designed the optical cavity for	
17	Spider, right?	
18	A This is correct.	
19	Q So the optical cavity, it was the way	
20	that it worked in Spider is, it would be mounted on	09:44:47
21	the housing that we looked at in Exhibit 100, right?	
22	A I didn't work on that part.	
23	Q You don't know whether the optical cavity	
24	was designed to be mounted on the housing?	
25	A It was, like, probably, yeah.	09:45:03
		Page 33

### Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 588-5 Filed 06/12/17 Page 8 of 17 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1		So do you know what housing is?	09:46:51
2		MR. KIM: Objection. Vague.	
3		THE WITNESS: I guess a housing could mean	
4	many thir	ngs.	
5	BY MR. JA	AFFE:	09:46:59
6	Q	Do you know what a housing is in the	
7	context o	of LiDAR?	
8		MR. KIM: Objection. Vague.	
9		THE WITNESS: This is not a term I used.	
10	BY MR. JA	AFFE:	09:47:06
11	Q	It's not a term you've ever used?	
12	А	No.	
13	Q	Let's talk about the optical cavity. It	
14	included	in Spider, it included a lens, right?	
15	A	This is correct.	09:47:21
16	Q	And the Spider design included transmit	
17	and recei	ive, right?	
18	А	This is correct.	
19	Q	So there were for each optical cavity,	
20	there wer	re eight lasers, right?	09:47:34
21	А	This is correct.	
22	Q	And then there would be eight	
23	correspor	nding receptors for each optical cavity,	
24	right?		
25	А	This is correct.	09:47:42
			Page 36

# Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 588-5 Filed 06/12/17 Page 9 of 17 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1	Q And so the way that it worked in the	09:47:43
2	Spider optical cavity that you designed that we're	
3	looking at here in Exhibit 101 is, the eight lasers	
4	would emit and go through a hole in a mirror to the	
5	main lens that we're looking at in Exhibit 101,	09:47:57
6	right?	
7	A This is correct.	
8	Q They would go and hit some target and	
9	bounce back and go through the same lens, right?	
10	A This is correct.	09:48:07
11	Q And then they would bounce off of a mirror	
12	to the individual photodetectors, right?	
13	A This is correct.	
14	Q And so the transmit and receive paths	
15	would overlap in the optical cavity that we're	09:48:18
16	looking at in Exhibit 101, right?	
17	MR. KIM: Objection. Vague.	
18	THE WITNESS: For any given channels, they	
19	never overlap.	
20	BY MR. JAFFE:	09:48:34
21	Q I see.	
22	But for the lasers as a whole, they would	
23	overlap, right?	
24	MR. KIM: Objection. Vague.	
25	THE WITNESS: Let me think about this one.	09:48:47
		Page 37

### Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 588-5 Filed 06/12/17 Page 10 of 17 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1	It's pretty hard to see the geometry in my head. So	09:48:49
2	I guess it could overlap.	
3	BY MR. JAFFE:	
4	Q To state it another way, the area where	
5	the transmit path goes through and the area where	09:49:08
6	the receive path goes through, it's the same shared	
7	space in the optical cavity, right?	
8	A This is correct.	
9	Q Okay. The Spider design in the specific	
10	optical cavity that we're looking at in Exhibit 101,	09:49:26
11	that is was a single-lens design, right?	
12	MR. KIM: Objection. Vague.	
13	THE WITNESS: So there were two lens	
14	elements.	
15	BY MR. JAFFE:	09:49:44
16	Q What do you mean by that?	
17	A If you open this cavity, you would have	
18	two elements: One front element, and a second	
19	element 50 millimeters behind.	
20	Q What did the second lens element do?	09:50:02
21	A To get better focusing or beam quality,	
22	you need more optical surfaces. And the more	
23	optical surfaces you get, the better focus you can	
24	get.	
25	Q I see.	09:50:27
		Page 38

### Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 588-5 Filed 06/12/17 Page 11 of 17 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1	THE WITNESS: Yes.	10:13:53
2	BY MR. JAFFE:	
3	Q Why?	
4	A Because I thought he was going to work on	
5	trucks.	10:14:17
6	Q Is that what he told you when you were	
7	talking about joining the company?	
8	A We were, and we are still, working on	
9	trucks.	
10	MR. JAFFE: Let's mark as Exhibit 102 a	10:14:40
11	document Bates-labeled UBER11465.	
12	(Exhibit 102 was marked for identification	
13	and is attached hereto.)	
14	BY MR. JAFFE:	
15	Q Mr. Pennecot, this is an e-mail that you	10:15:01
16	sent to Mr. Levandowski in February 2017, correct?	
17	A This is correct. Let me this is	
18	correct.	
19	Q And the subject line is "Here are a few	
20	screenshot of Fuji zemax files."	10:15:39
21	Do you see that?	
22	A I see it.	
23	Q Fuji, that refers to one of Uber's LiDAR	
24	projects, right?	
25	A This is correct.	10:15:48
		Page 42

### Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 588-5 Filed 06/12/17 Page 12 of 17 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1	Q	And so you were sending Mr. Levandowski	10:15:49
2	some scr	eenshots of the Fuji design, right?	
3	A	This is correct.	
4	Q	So and just going by the names of the	
5	attachme	nt,	
		; is that right?	
7	A	Yes.	
8	Q		
10		Do you see that?	10:16:16
11	A	This is correct.	
12	Q		
			10:16:58
			Page 43

### Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 588-5 Filed 06/12/17 Page 13 of 17 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1	Q	
4	Q And just so the record is clear, you're	
5	looking at the page ending in 11468? I think it's a	10:17:18
6	little covered over, but it's the one prior to	
7	11469, so I'm pretty comfortable saying that.	
8	A 1468. This is correct.	
9	Q Going back to the first page, you also	
10	sent Mr. Levandowski the layout for the receive side	10:17:45
11	in Fuji; is that right?	
12	A So Fuji receive layout.png. Fuji receive	
13	layout.png. Fuji receive this is it. Yes. This	
14	is correct.	
15	Q Okay.	
17	A That would be this is correct.	
18	Q	
	, right?	
20	A Yes, this is correct.	10:18:33
21	Q Why did you send these things to	
22	Mr. Levandowski?	
23	A Because he asked me to.	
24	Q Why did he ask you to?	
25	MR. KIM: Objection. Calls for	10:18:42
		Page 44

### Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 588-5 Filed 06/12/17 Page 14 of 17 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1	speculation.	10:18:43
2	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	
3	BY MR. JAFFE:	
4	Q He just walked up to you and said, "Can	
5	you send me these very specific things?"	10:18:52
6	A I guess he called me.	
7	Q And what did he say?	
8	A What did he say? He asked me to send him,	
9	like, some Fuji Zemax files, like, describing my	
10	job, what I was doing.	10:19:14
11	Q And did you have any understanding of why	
12	he was asking you to send him this material?	
13	A No.	
14	Q Did you ask?	
15	A I don't remember.	10:19:33
16	Q Did you get any further information	
17	afterwards on why he asked you to send this material	
18	about Fuji?	
19	A No, I didn't.	
20	Q Why do you have any understanding of	10:19:46
21	why Mr. Levandowski would ask you to send these	
22	detailed this detailed information about the Fuji	
23	project to him?	
24	MR. KIM: Objection. Calls for	
25	speculation, asked and answered.	10:19:55
		Page 45

### Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 588-5 Filed 06/12/17 Page 15 of 17 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1	Q Okay. So you can't tell me anything about	10:42:44
2	what your colleagues told you to calm you down about	
3	these shocking allegations?	
4	A I don't remember, like, the yeah. No,	
5	I don't remember.	10:43:00
6	Q Did you ever ask Anthony?	
7	A I did.	
8	Q What did he say?	
9	A So he told me that there was so I	
10	remember him saying there's nothing at wait. How	10:43:12
11	did he like, how did he phrase that?	
12	I asked him, like, "Did you" yeah,	
13	like, "Did you take documents?"	
14	And the answer was, "There are, like, no	
15	documents at Uber."	10:43:39
16	So, like, he didn't really answer the	
17	question.	
18	Q So he didn't deny that he took the	
19	documents, right?	
20	A He didn't deny it.	10:43:45
21	Q What did you do after he didn't answer the	
22	question?	
23	A I told him that he better explain to	
24	everybody what happened.	
25	Q When was this conversation?	10:43:59
		Page 65

### Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 588-5 Filed 06/12/17 Page 16 of 17 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

THE WITNESS: I don't know.	10:50:57
BY MR. JAFFE:	
Q You don't know whether what he did is	
unethical?	
A I don't know. I don't know whether he did	10:51:02
or didn't.	
Q Have you seen any evidence of Google's	
proprietary information being used at Uber?	
A Not at all.	
Q What was Mr. Levandowski's role in the	10:51:26
Spider design? Referring to Anthony Levandowski.	
A He made some sketches at the like, he	
basically brought me on the project.	
Q When you said, "He made some sketches,"	
what are you talking about?	10:51:45
A So he came to my desk and made some	
sketches.	
Q Of what?	
A Possible optics or beam steering or	
things. He was brainstorming at my desk.	10:51:56
Q And did the sketches that he designed, is	
that the basis for the optical cavity that we marked	
as the picture, as Exhibit, I think, 101?	
A I designed around these sketches, yes.	
Q So he gave you the initial design, and you	10:52:13
	Page 71
	BY MR. JAFFE:  Q You don't know whether what he did is unethical?  A I don't know. I don't know whether he did or didn't.  Q Have you seen any evidence of Google's proprietary information being used at Uber?  A Not at all.  Q What was Mr. Levandowski's role in the Spider design? Referring to Anthony Levandowski.  A He made some sketches at the like, he basically brought me on the project.  Q When you said, "He made some sketches," what are you talking about?  A So he came to my desk and made some sketches.  Q Of what?  A Possible optics or beam steering or things. He was brainstorming at my desk.  Q And did the sketches that he designed, is that the basis for the optical cavity that we marked as the picture, as Exhibit, I think, 101?  A I designed around these sketches, yes.

### Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 588-5 Filed 06/12/17 Page 17 of 17 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1	designed around what he provided you, and you	10:52:15
2	resulted in Exhibit 101?	
3	MR. KIM: Objection. Vague.	
4	THE WITNESS: I used it as a first spec,	
5	you know. Like, you need to start somewhere.	10:52:26
6	MR. JAFFE: Why don't we take a quick	
7	break.	
8	THE VIDEO OPERATOR: The time is	
9	10:52 a.m. We're off the record.	
10	(Recess, 10:52 a.m 11:09 a.m.)	10:52:44
11	THE VIDEO OPERATOR: The time is 11:09	
12	a.m. We are back on the record.	
13	MR. JAFFE: I'm going to mark as	
14	Exhibit 103 a document Bates-labeled UBER11588.	
15	(Exhibit 103 was marked for identification	11:09:51
16	and is attached hereto.)	
17	BY MR. JAFFE:	
18	Q Mr. Pennecot, this is an e-mail that you	
19	wrote, right? Exhibit 103?	
20	A Yes, this is correct.	11:10:49
21	Q You said you guess this is correct?	
22	A No, this is correct. This is correct.	
23	This is this page.	
24	Q And going back to the first page, the	
25	subject line is	11:11:01
		Page 72